

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

	`
	/

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,988	12/19/2001	Graeme Milbourne Clark	1473-070	2573	
7	7590 10/21/2003		EXAMINER		
Gottlieb Rackman & Reisman 270 Madison Avenue			DROESCH, KRISTEN L		
New York, NY 10016-0601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3762	5	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ΛΚ
•	Applic	cation N .	Applicant(s)	
•	10/01	8,988	CLARK ET AL.	
Office Action Summ	nary Exami	ner	Art Unit	
		L Droesch	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less it - If NO period for reply is specified above, the it - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion of the period by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	DMMUNICATION. e provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply within the maximum statutory period will apply a riod for reply will, by statute, cause the ee months after the mailing date of this	o event, however, may estatutory minimum of t and will expire SIX (6) May explication to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.
Status 1) ☐ Responsive to communicate Responsive to communicate	tion(s) filed on 10 Decemb	ner 2001		
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action			
3) Since this application is in	·—		natters prosecution as to t	he merits is
closed in accordance with Disposition of Claims	the practice under Ex part	e Quayle, 1935 (C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending	in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) _	is/are withdrawn from	consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allow	ed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected				
7) Claim(s) is/are object	cted to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction and/or election	on requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 D</u>				
	at any objection to the drawin			
11) The proposed drawing corre			I disapproved by the Exami	ner.
•••	ngs are required in reply to thi			
12) The oath or declaration is ob		,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and			0.440(-).(1)(0.	
13) Acknowledgment is made of		y under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ N				
	e priority documents have			
2. Certified copies of th	•			
3.⊠ Copies of the certifie application from the second terminal application from the second	the International Bureau (P	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	l Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for domestic priorit	ly under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).
a) ☐ The translation of the fo 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of				
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-892) 			ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper N of Informal Patent Application (P	
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office				

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 1, the language "... nearer to the entry point of the electrode array to the cochlea ..." is vague. It is unclear what location is being compared by the use of the language "nearer". Is it nearer to the entry point of the electrode array to the cochlea than to the outer surface of the temporal bone or other anatomical reference point?

Claim 1 recites the limitations "the cochlea" in line 3; "the patient" in line 4; "the mastoid cavity" in lines 6-7; and "the entry point" in line 7.

Claim 3 recites the limitations "the flexibility" in line 1.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in these claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,988

Art Unit: 3762

4. Claims 1-3, and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Maniglia (5,906,635).

5. Regarding claim 1, Maniglia shows an implant package (74) including stimulator electronics contained within a protective housing and being operably coupled to an electrode array (76) adapted for insertion into a cochlea (66) of a patient and being further operably coupled to a receiving and/or transmitting coil enclosed within a protective casing (silicone or PTFE coating), the protective housing being dimensioned and shaped to be capable of location within a mastoid cavity of a patient, the coupling between the protective housing and protective casing being contained in a flexible connection (via locking assembly 45 comprising slot 43, screw 62, and a nut). (Col. 5, lines 43-46; Col. 7, lines 48-64; Col. 8, line 64-Col. 9, line 30) (Figs. 7, 9).

With respect to claim 2-3, Managlia shows the flexible connection facilitates optimal positioning of the coil depending on the anatomy and age of the patient and the flexibility of the flexible connection between the protective housing and the protective casing is such as to allow changes in head shape as the patient grows (Col. 2, lines 54-67)

Regarding claim 5-7, Managlia shows the implant package is electrically coupled to the electrode array and the receiving and/or transmitting coil and includes one or more flexible arms (55, 72) containing leads which electrically connect the implant electronic to the receiving and/or transmitting coil (Col. 5, lines 31-46).

With respect to claim 8, Managlia shows the implant package (74) is a receiver/stimulator package.

Art Unit: 3762

م : . سه

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35
U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest an implant package comprising a protective housing and operably connected to a cochlear electrode array and including a flexible connection between the protective housing and protective casing of a transmitting and/or receiving coil that is made of a material having memory.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kristen L Droesch whose telephone number is 703-605-1185. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:00 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angie Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Kriste troud

KENNEDY SCHAETZLE PRIMARY EXAMINER

10-14.07